Their Portrait of a Prophet

10

In 1977 two full-length biographies of Joseph Smith appeared, both more of the same with a little more added. They all continue to miss the point: Why is Joseph Smith worth writing about? Only, apparently, because the Mormons are still going strong. He was once thought interesting as a picturesque, even fantastic, frontier character; but now that it has become the fashion to explain him away as a perfectly ordinary guy, even that has been given up. But do ordinary guys do what Joseph Smith did? It is as if the biographers of Shakespeare were to go on year after year digging up all the details of his rather ordinary life, omitting only that, incidentally, he was credited with writing some remarkable plays. The documents which Joseph Smith has placed in our hands are utterly unique; if you doubt it, please furnish an example to match the books of Moses, Abraham, any book of the Book of Mormon, or for that matter, Joseph Smith's own story. No one since Eduard Meyer has pointed out how closely Joseph's productions match those of the prophets of Israel; no one but he and E. A. W. Budge have had the knowledge to detect familiar overtones from ancient apocryphal writings in Joseph Smith's revelations and his autobiography. From the first deriding of the Book of Mormon before 1830, to the latest attacks on the book of Abraham, the approach has always been the same: "Considering who Smith was and

the methods he used, it is hardly worth the trouble to examine the writings which he put forth as holy scriptures and ancient histories." And so his work remains unread by his critics, and the greatest of all literary anomalies remains not only unexplained but unexamined. But why should his critics not see in Joseph Smith only what they choose to see, since the Mormons themselves do the same?

Scene: The assembly hall of a public school in Palmyra, New York, at the turn of the century. The hall is empty save for the presence of the chairman and his clerk, who is gathering papers together preparatory to departure. It is obviously late at night.)

Chairman: Before you go, Mr. Beckmesser, there are some things I would like to talk over with you. Since this is not a trial but only an investigation, I would like to get your reaction to Mr. Tucker's portrait of the youthful Smith. A sulky, taciturn, evilminded brat gains a loyal and devoted following simply by telling wild and wonderful stories; how does it strike you?

Clerk: A bit odd, sir. But then, didn't a mischievous boy in East Side New York have a million people in a high state of religious excitement a few years back by announcing that the Virgin had appeared to him in a back lot?

Chairman: Yes, I recall the case. But how long did that kid's glory last, five days? A week, maybe? That only shows what a different sort of thing we are up against here. By the way, have you got that material for a portrait of Smith?

Clerk: You mean all those intimate descriptions of what he looked like? Yes sir, I collected them as you asked. Here they are.

Chairman: Do they present a uniform picture of the man? I mean, did Smith make a consistent impression on people?

Clerk: If you mean, do they all think he is a scoundrel, the answer is yes; otherwise, their books would not be classified as anti-Mormon. His friends praise him, his enemies hate him, but aside from hating him they don't seem to be able to agree on a thing. Here is one, for example, who writes: "I can see him now in my mind's eye, with his torn and patched trousers held to his

form by a pair of suspenders made out of sheeting, with his calico shirt as dirty and black as the earth, and his uncombed hair sticking through the holes in his old battered hat."¹

Chairman: Very picturesque. The "mind's eye," indeed. Is this the child Joseph Smith?

Clerk: By no means, sir. This is supposed to describe the man when "he was about twenty-five years old"—that would be after the publication of the Book of Mormon and the founding of the Church.²

Chairman: But does anybody take this seriously?

Clerk: Mr. Linn accepts it as an accurate portrait. Here is a homey touch that gives it an air of simple honesty: "Joe had a jovial, easy, don't-care way about him that made him warm friends. He was a good talker, and would have made a fine stump-speaker with training." ³

Chairman: A sloppy tramp with the gift of gab.

Clerk: So it seems, sir. But here is another eyewitness description from the same period: "He was always well dressed, generally in black with a white necktie. He looked like a Reverend. . . . Joseph was no orator. He said what he wanted to say in a very blundering sort of way." So now he's a well-dressed gent who can't talk at all. And that is typical. Mr. Tucker said taciturnity was one of Smith's most conspicuous characteristics, and here another witness says, "Joseph did not talk much in society, his talk was not very fluent, . . . he was by no means interesting in company." Stephen S. Harding, one-time governor of Utah Territory, who claims to have known Smith personally in Palmyra, says, "Young Joe was hard to be approached. He was very taciturn, and sat most of the time as silent as the Sphinx."

Chairman: Silent Smith, eh?

Clerk: That is what some say, but others say the opposite: "very voluble in speech, having great self-confidence," "endowed with the requisite cunning and volubility." 8

Chairman: But isn't that the later Smith?

Clerk: No, sir, this is the boy of Palmyra, who used to attend "revival meetings praying and exhorting with great exhuberance of words," "used to help us solve some portentous question of moral or political ethics in our juvenile debating club . . . and subsequently . . . was a very passable exhorter in evening meetings." ¹⁰ Here is another: "At times he would be very active in a religious revival, praying and exhorting with unusual fervor, in that exuberance of words which he had wonderfully at his command." ¹¹

It is rather puzzling—a blundering, stammering, taciturn Sphinx with a wonderful exuberance of words. "His address is easy," wrote Mr. Howe himself of this stammerer, "rather fascinating and winning, of a mild and sober deportment, though at times inclined to jest and be exceedingly merry." ¹² This is the boy whom Mr. Tucker says "was never known to laugh." And while Mr. Tucker also assures us from the most intimate experience that everything Joe and his family did proclaimed their sordid atheism, the other neighbors report him as zealously active in religious circles.

Chairman: So somebody is lying.

Clerk: At least they can't all be right. You remember Mr. Tucker said Joseph Smith was of a "plodding, evil-brewing mental composition," that "he seldom spoke to anyone outside of his intimate associates," and above all, that he "was never known to laugh." 13 And Mrs. Eaton, taking the cue, says "he rarely smiled or laughed. 'His looks and thoughts were always downward bent.'"14 Yet one high authority says he had "a deep vein of humor that ran through all he said and did,"15 and Charles Dickens declares that "the exact adjective for Joe's religion is—jolly!"16 The poet Whittier speaks of Smith's "rude, bold, good-humoured face," 17 and even some of the most damning witnesses tell us "Joe had a jovial, easy, don't-care way about him,"18 and that "he used to laugh from the crown of his head to the soles of his feet, it shook every bit of flesh in him."19 Also, while Mr. Hendrix assures us that he made "warm friends," other neighbors say "he was shunned by the boys of his own age" and that he was "an awkward and unpopular lad."20 Here is a nice impasse: Chase, Ingersoll, and Stafford, who knew him so well, describe him as a brawler who frequently got drunk and "when intoxicated was very quarrelsome,"21 while Tucker and Harding, who knew him just as well, assure us that Smith "was noted as never having had a fight or quarrel with any other person."22 Whom are we to believe?

Chairman: It might be easier to check up on his physical appearance. What do they say to that?

Clerk: He is described by eyewitnesses in 1830 as being "tall and slender—thin favored." Mr. Dogberry calls him "spindle shanked"; here is a remarkable description by Harding, who "describes him as having been a tall, long-legged and tow-headed youth, who seldom smiled, hardly ever worked and never fought, but who was hard on truth and bird's nests." 25

Chairman: At least we know that Smith was tall and skinny.

Clerk: But do we? Thurlow Weed's description of Smith from that time is of "a stout, round, smooth-faced young man." ²⁶ Tall he may have been, but how he could have been "thin-favored" and stout and round at the same time is not so obvious. And just two years later another eyewitness who claims to have known Smith very well says he is "a man of mean and insignificant appearance, between forty and fifty years of age."27 Later on we are told that "the gait of this person was heavy and slouching, his hands were large and thick, his eyes grey and unsteady in their gaze."28 A year after this was published, another opus describes the prophet as "a tall, elegant-looking man with dark piercing eyes, and features, which if not handsome, were imposing."29 Another calls him "a man of commanding appearance, tall and well-proportioned." "A noble-looking fellow," says another, "a Mahomet every inch of him."30 Josiah Quincy says "he was a hearty, athletic fellow, with blue eyes standing prominently out upon his light complexion. . . . 'A fine-looking man' is what the passer-by would instinctively have murmured."31 Another visitor says Smith had dark hair and eyes and a "strong rugged outline of face" with features exactly like those of Oliver Cromwell.³² Charles Francis Adams described him as "a middle-aged man with a shrewd but rather ordinary expression of countenance."33

Chairman: So far we have shifty grey eyes, prominent blue eyes, and dark piercing eyes.

Clerk: Yes, and while one illustrious visitor says he could not see Smith's eyes since the man refused to look people in the face,³⁴ others speak of his "penetrating eagle eyes."³⁵ Some think Smith's huge, fat, enormous awkward hands worthy of special mention,³⁶ while others comment on the remarkably *small* size of his hands.³⁷ One says that he had "a Herculean frame and a commanding appearance,"³⁸ another that he was sloppy and slouching, "very lank and loose in his appearance and movements."³⁹

Chairman: A portrait artist would have a wonderful time depicting him from these honest firsthand descriptions. How do you account for the discrepancies?

Clerk: I think the report of the celebrated Mr. Conybeare, the foremost literary critic of the mid-nineteenth century, can help us out there. His classical description of Joseph Smith's appearance is warranted solely by the contemplation of a small wood engraving of the Prophet, the work of neither a sympathetic nor a skillful hand. This has been reproduced in numerous anti-Mormon books as the official non-Mormon portrait of Smith. As he views

the small and clumsy drawing, Mr. Conybeare gives forth: "It is inexplicable how anyone who had ever looked at Joseph's portrait [it was not really a portrait, of course, since Smith did not pose for it], could imagine him to have been by possibility an honest man. Never did we see a face on which the hand of heaven had more legibly written rascal. That self-complacent simper, that sensual mouth, that leer of vulgar cunning, tell us at one glance the character of their owner." 40

Chairman: Dear me, all this from a crude woodcut the size of a postage-stamp! Our artist must have been a supreme caricaturist.

Clerk: Not at all. If you will look at the picture you will see that it is a perfectly ordinary performance—typical of the nineteenthcentury school of engraving at which Robert Louis Stevenson poked fun in his *Moral Emblems*. All that consummate viciousness is simply what Mr. Conybeare reads into it. Yet a Dutch scholar has taken Conybeare's interpretation of this grotesque little vignette as solid psychological evidence for the character of Smith.⁴¹ You get the same sort of thing when you deal with Joseph Smith's intelligence and knowledge. Here we read of "a natural genius, strong inventive powers of mind, a deep study, and an unusually correct estimate of the human passions and feelings,"42 "a fertile immagination,"43 "an omnivorous reader of the 'buckets of blood' literature,"44 "highly original and imaginative, . . . an audacious and original mind,"45 "a retentive memory; a correct knowledge of human nature,"46 "a strong mind (says Quincy) utterly unenlightened by the teachings of history,"47 and "a great shrewdness and worldly wisdom, . . . boundless energy and intrepidity of character, of most fearless audacity."48 "Great powers of reasoning were his natural gift, . . . and a deep vein of humor ran through all he said and did."49 "Joseph was the calf that sucked three cows. He acquired knowledge very rapidly. . . . He soon out-grew his teachers."50 "His own autobiography shows him well studied at an early period in the nice shades and differences of modern sectarian creeds, and . . . well-read in the history of Mohammed and other religious imposters."51 "The skill with which he carried out his imposture, . . . his eloquence, rude but powerful—his letters, clever and sarcastic—the manifold character and boldness of his designs—his courage in enterprise—his perseverance despite great obstacles—his conception and partial execution of the temple of Nauvoo—these and other things mark him as a man of more than ordinary calibre."52

Chairman: A sort of superman. And on the other hand?

Clerk: On the other hand, the same Smith in 1830 is "that spindle shanked ignoramus, Joe Smith. This fellow appears to possess the quintessence of impudence . . . having but little expression of countenance other than that of dullness; his mental powers appear to be extremely limited."53 One of the earliest says, "I thought the man either crazed or a very shallow imposter."54 "His knowledge was slight and his judgment weak."55 "He was lounging, idle (not to say vicious), and possessed of less than ordinary intellect. The author's own recollections of him are distinct ones."56 "He was as self-indulgent as he was ignorant,"57 "a dissolute, unprincipled young rake, and notorious only for his general wickedness."58 "Jo from a boy appeared dull and utterly destitute of genius."59 "His untutored and feeble intellect had not yet [in 1830] grasped at anything beyond mere toying with mysterious things."60 "We can discover in his career no proof of conspicuous ability. . . . His chief, if not his only talent, was his gigantic impudence."61 He was never "noted for much else than ignorance and stupidity, to which might be added . . . a fondness for everything marvelous."62 "Joseph was unkempt and immoderately lazy. He could read, though not without difficulty, wrote a very imperfect hand, and had a limited understanding of elementary arithmetic."63 "Ignorant and ill-prepared, as he confessedly was for such a work, he made no special effort to qualify himself."64 "He had neither the diligence nor the constancy to master reality,"65 a "completely undisciplined imagination"66 not to be "canalized by any discipline."67 He was not liked. The young people of the town considered him not quite full-witted, and with the cruelty of youth, made him the butt for their practical jokes.⁶⁸

Chairman: So it was the village idiot who wrote the Book of Mormon. This brings up a little question of motive. Surely there are easier ways of fooling people than by composing a large and complex book which, as the book itself foretells, simply invites persecution. How do these people explain the colossally exhausting and dangerous task of writing, publishing, and spreading it abroad as the enterprise of the laziest man on earth?

Clerk: There are two schools of thought. One holds that Smith was sincerely religious, the other that he was not; the latter is the larger faction by about one hundred to one. We are to believe that he undertook the writing of the Book of Mormon out of sheer impudence, "his only talent." According to Mrs. Brodie, this silly, sneaky, shallow, prevaricating boy dictated the whole Book of Mormon as a sort of practical joke on his parents "to carry out the fun."

This is her idea of fun. Here are some other verdicts: "That he was a religious enthusiast we cannot grant. . . . One principle . . . actuated him through life, and that was—selfishness, . . . [which makes his religion] one of the most unfounded and abominable systems that ever sprung from the depths of human or Satanic depravity."69 His Book of Mormon is "but a wicked, silly, filthy romance, founded in ignorance, nay, the quintessence of ignorance, even the ignorance of Joseph Smith, got up for speculation, in order to gull the American Indians, and dupe the English!"70 "You have not even the poor merit of either talent or originality," wrote Professor Turner to Joseph Smith. "You have at once outraged and disgraced human nature itself."71 "If there is one fact in American history that can be regarded as definitely established it is that the engaging Joe Smith was a deliberate charlatan."72 "The camel-driver of Medina was probably a sincere fanatic, whereas the seer of Palmyra was almost certainly a cunning imposter."73 His "only object at that time was to play upon the credulous, earn applause from the debased, and extort money from the simple, under the plea of a divine mission."74 "He was one of those indolent and illiterate young men . . . who hope to shun honest labor, and who have imbibed the pernicious doctrine embraced in the phrase: 'The world owes me a living."75 "Colossal egotist, ribald wit, handsome giant, ruthless enemy, loudmouthed braggart, . . . religious charlatan, great administrator, master politician, cheap exhibitionist."76 "Smith was a bank-note forger, . . . shifty, illiterate and credulous,"77 "the greedy speculator without conscience, and without shame."78 "Their leaders are evidently atrocious imposters, who have deceived a great many weak-minded but well-meaning persons, by holding out to them the promise of great temporal advantage."⁷⁹ Joseph Smith's "own character gives no shred of prestige for his pretentious claims. Yet, most individual Mormons are sturdy, sincere, honorable, and fine citizens."80 Mormonism grew from "the pure rascality of the Mormon prophet," "an uneducated youth, without wealth or social standing; indeed, without a prestige of common morality (for the founder of Mormonism is said to have been a dissolute, unprincipled young rake, and notorious only for his general wickedness)."81 "I have yet to find anybody, or any book, not Mormon, that has a single good word to say of Joseph Smith."82 For Mrs. Brodie, Joseph Smith was "utterly opportunistic." Mr. Conybeare calls him "a profligate and sordid knave, . . . making the voice of heaven pander to his own avarice and lust."83 And so on and so on; you get the idea: Smith was the last word in

depravity, but he wanted power and money, and that explains everything. His success can be attributed either to audacity or cunning or both.

Chairman: So I ask myself, Why would a cunning and ambitious rogue too lazy to do any work invariably choose the hardest, the most dangerous, and the least rewarding ways of getting what he wanted, especially since he is supposed to have had an uncanny insight into the foibles of human nature? Or is he?

Clerk: He is, all right. Mr. Howe himself says Smith has "a natural genius, strong inventive powers of mind, a deep study, and an unusually correct estimate of the human passions and feelings." He knew his public—no doubt about it. And so he proceeded to make and keep himself the most unpopular man of the century.

Chairman: Does that strike you as being believable?

Clerk: Historians admit the inconsistency, but they won't discuss it. Here is one who admits that it is "marvelously strange that . . . a dissolute, unprincipled young rake . . . should excite a revolutionary movement in the religious world . . . and that, too, in an age of refinement and scientific intelligence."85 By admitting that this is "marvelously strange," this author seems to think he has relieved himself of any further responsibility of explaining the paradox. Mrs. Brodie has her own characteristic solution of the problem. She explains away all her whopping contradictions by what she calls "the unusual plasticity of Joseph's mind."86 By having him sufficiently plastic, you can have one man take any form you want to.

Chairman: But again the word simply describes the phenomenon—it does not explain a thing. Does a biographer or a portrait painter, when his picture fails to resemble anything human, have a right to introduce new and unexampled dimensions into his art, and attribute the weird results not to his own creativity but to the "unusual plasticity" of his subject? Here we have a young man producing large and difficult books by his own efforts, converting thousands of deeply religious people to a willingness to give their lives for what he teaches, leading great migrations, founding many cities and societies—structures of solid and enduring quality—and all the time enduring persecution and opposition of great persistence and ferocity. And this young man is not only a complete cynic but incredibly tactless and silly; he is in fact the most unprincipled, irresponsible, shallow, undisciplined, lazy young man alive. Does it make sense to you?

Clerk: I would feel much better about it if there were some historical parallels to match this, but I know of none. In real life, lazy loafers do not write big books, opportunistic charlatans do not risk their lives in hard and exhausting projects when by changing their tune they could become rich and respectable, and ambitious men with keen insight into human nature don't insist on doing and saying just the things that are bound to offend the most people the most. Here is one authority who confesses that "a mere imposter . . . would have been broken down under such a tempest of opposition and hate as Smith's preaching excited. Smith must have been at least in part honest in his delusion."

Chairman: Now there is a generous concession—he "must have been at least in part honest." That explains everything; he's going to have his cake and eat it. But is anyone going to tell us in which "part" he is honest? Where was Smith's real genius?

Clerk: I think Mrs. Brodie answers that in a passage that takes all the prizes. She assures us that "the facility with which profound theological arguments were handled is evidence of the unusual plasticity of Joseph's mind. But this facility was entirely verbal. The essence of the great spiritual and moral truths with which he dealt so agilely did not penetrate into his consciousness. . . . He knew these truths intimately as a bright child knows his catechism, but his use of them was utterly opportunistic." ⁸⁸

Chairman: A remarkably revealing statement. It was Theodore Schroder, the rabid anti-Mormon, who once observed that psychological studies of Joseph Smith only reveal the minds of those who make them and leave Smith untouched. Mrs. Brodie might as well have discoursed on the qualities of silent music, invisible etchings, or odorless perfume as to talk of dealing in "great spiritual and moral truths" without grasping anything of their "essence" — without such a grasp there is simply nothing to talk about; how on earth can one know things "intimately" or at all unless they do somehow penetrate into one's consciousness? They exist nowhere else. Since "Mrs. Brodie's intense atheism . . . actually determines . . . the content of her book," 199 it would be interesting to know what are the "profound spiritual truths" which *she* grasps so well and which so completely escaped Joseph Smith.

Clerk: Here are some more descriptions: "A shrewd schemer whose ethical sense was poorly developed," "an ever-inventive and fertile genius" who succeeded because he had no scruples whatever. It beats me how such a clever man bent on deception could be so clumsy at the same time. Josiah Canning laughs at Smith's

"school-boy tact," on and Peter Cartwright calls him "clumsy Joe." Kidder is amazed that a "miserable plagiarist . . . had . . . the unaccountable stupidity" to include extensive Bible passages in the Book of Mormon, which was designed to fool a public that knew the Bible better than any other book. A classic example of his shrewdness is the oft-repeated story of how the youthful Smith went around town singing the song of his hero Captain Kidd, whose autobiography he eagerly and often perused. He chanted it at play, quoted it over and over at the village store until it became indelibly associated with him in the minds of the people of Manchester and Palmyra," who incidentally never mention the fact in the early period. Not a very sly way to begin a life of religious deception.

Chairman: To say the least. Yet that Captain Kidd story is a great favorite with twentieth-century writers on Mormonism. I wonder where they got it.

Clerk: I think I have a pretty good idea. In 1830 a Rochester newspaper recalled that back in 1815 there had been considerable interest among "a certain class" of people in western New York in searching for Captain Kidd's treasure. The article makes it clear that there is no necessary connection between this mania and any of Joseph Smith's activities. 94 Taking up from here, Mr. Howe reports that the Smiths went around "pretending to believe that the earth was filled with hidden treasures, buried there by Kidd or the Spaniards." 95 From there on it is easy: Joseph Smith soon emerges as the unique disciple of the terrible pirate. It is fascinating to see how Smith's critics can turn anything and nothing into direct evidence against him. But we are going to look into the treasure-digging stories in the morning. They should be good.

Notes

- 1. Daniel Hendrix, interview in New York Times, 15 July 1898.
- 2. Daniel Hendrix, interview.
- 3. Daniel Hendrix, interview.
- 4. Wilhelm Wyl, Mormon Portraits; Or, the Truth about the Mormon Leaders (Salt Lake City: Tribune, 1886), 25, 27.
 - 5. Wyl, Mormon Potraits, 26.
 - 6. Thomas Gregg, The Prophet of Palmyra (New York: Alden, 1890), 38.
- 7. W. Lang, *History of Seneca County* (Springfield, Ohio: Transcript, 1880), 649.
- 8. John S. C. Abbott, *The History of the State of Ohio* (Detroit: Northwestern, 1875), 697.
 - 9. Lang, History of Seneca County, 649.
- 10. George W. Cowles, Landmarks of Wayne County, New York (Syracuse, N.Y.: Mason, 1895), 78.
 - 11. Abbott, History of the State of Ohio, 697.
- 12. Eber D. Howe, *Mormonism Unvailed; Or, a Faithful Account of That Singular Imposition and Delusion* (Painesville, Ohio: the author, 1834), 13.
- 13. Pomeroy Tucker, *Origin, Rise, and Progress of Mormonism* (New York: Appleton, 1867), 16–17.
- 14. Mrs. Horace Eaton, "Origin of Mormonism," speech delivered 27 May 1881, in *Hand-book on Mormonism* (Salt Lake City: Hand-book, 1882), 1.
 - 15. "The Yankee Mahomet," American Whig Review 13 (June 1851): 556.
- 16. Charles Dickens, "In the Name of the Prophet—Smith!" *Household Words* 69 (19 July 1851): 387.
- 17. William and Mary Howitt, eds., *Howitt's Journal* (London: Lovett, 1847), 158.
 - 18. Daniel Hendrix, interview.
 - 19. Wyl, Mormon Portraits, 26.
- 20. Ruth Kauffman and Reginald W. Kauffman, *The Latter-Day Saints: A Study of the Mormons in the Light of Economic Conditions* (London: Williams and Norgate, 1912), 23.
- 21. John C. Bennett, *The History of the Saints; Or, An Exposé of Joe Smith and Mormonism* (Boston: Leland and Whiting, 1842), 72.
 - 22. Gregg, Prophet of Palmyra, 39.
- 23. Francis Kirkham, A New Witness for Christ in America: The Book of Mormon (Salt Lake City: Utah Printing, 1951), 2:68.

- 24. Kirkham, A New Witness for Christ in America, 2:56.
- 25. Charles A. Shook, *The True Origin of Mormon Polygamy* (Cincinnati: Standard, 1914), 17.
- 26. Thurlow Weed, *Autobiography* (Boston: Houghton and Mifflin, 1884), 1:358.
- 27. Edward Strutt Abdy, Journal of a Residence and Tour in the United States of North America from April 1833 to October 1834 (London: Murray, 1835), 324–25.
 - 28. Robert Richards, The Californian Crusoe (London: Parker, 1854), 60.
- 29. Maria Ward, *The Mormon Wife* (Hartford, Conn.: Hartford, 1872), 19.
 - 30. Wyl, Mormon Portraits, 28.
- 31. Josiah Quincy, *Figures of the Past from the Leaves of Old Journals* (Boston: Roberts Brothers, 1883), 380–81.
- 32. Edwin de Leon, *Thirty Years of My Life on Three Continents* (London: Ward and Downey, 1890), 1:56.
- 33. Henry Adams, "Charles Francis Adams Visits the Mormons in 1844," *Proceedings of Massachusetts Historical Society* 68 (October 1944–May 1947): 21.
- 34. Henry Caswall, The Prophet of the Nineteenth Century; Or, the Rise, Progress, and Present State of Mormons or Latter-day Saints (London: Rivington, 1843), 223.
- 35. Marchinus Hyminus Arnoldus van der Valk, *De Profeet der Mormonen, Joseph Smith Jr.* (Kampen: Kok, 1921), 28.
- 36. Caswall, Prophet of the Nineteenth Century, 223; Henry Brown, History of Illinois (Chicago: Brown, 1844), 401.
- 37. John Quincy Adams, *The Birth of Mormonism* (Boston: Gorham, 1916), 101.
 - 38. "Yankee Mahomet," 556.
 - 39. Wyl, Mormon Portraits, 26.
- 40. William John Conybeare, "Mormonism," Edinburgh Review 202 (April 1854): 338.
 - 41. Van der Valk, Profeet der Mormonen, 28.
 - 42. James H. Hunt, Mormonism (St. Louis: Ustick and Davies, 1844), 7.
- 43. Fawn M. Brodie, *No Man Knows My History* (New York: Knopf, 1947), 26.
 - 44. George Seibel, The Mormon Saints (Pittsburgh: Lessing, 1919), 15.

- 45. Brodie, No Man Knows My History, 48-49.
- 46. "Yankee Mahomet," 556.
- 47. "Yankee Mahomet," 399.
- 48. Edwin Paxton Hood, *Lamps of the Temple* (London: Snow, Paternoster Row, 1856), 477.
 - 49. "Yankee Mahomet," 556.
 - 50. Wyl, Mormon Portraits, 25.
- 51. Benjamin G. Ferris, *Utah and the Mormons* (New York: Harper and Bros., 1856), 66.
- 52. T. W. P. Taylder, *The Mormon's Own Book; or, Mormonism by Its Own Standards* (London: Partridge/Patternoster Row, 1847), li.
 - 53. Kirkham, A New Witness for Christ in America, 2:56, 68.
 - 54. Weed, Autobiography, 1:359.
- 55. Samuel M. Jackson, ed., *The New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge* (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1977), 8:13.
- 56. Orsamus Turner, History of the Pioneer Settlement of Phelps and Gorham's Purchase and Morris' Reserve (Rochester, N.Y.: Alling, 1851), 213.
- 57. George Townsend, *The Conversion of Mormonism* (Hartford, Conn.: Church Mission, 1911), 21.
- 58. History of Caldwell and Livingston Counties, Missouri (St. Louis: National Historical Company, 1886), 106.
- 59. John A. Clark, Gleanings by the Way (Philadelphia: Simon, 1842), 225.
 - 60. Gregg, Prophet of Palmyra, 4.
 - 61. Conybeare, "Mormonism," 338.
 - 62. Kirkham, A New Witness for Christ in America, 2:68.
 - 63. Jackson, New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia, 8:12.
 - 64. Gregg, Prophet of Palmyra, 20.
 - 65. Brodie, No Man Knows My History, 69.
 - 66. Brodie, No Man Knows My History, 84.
 - 67. Brodie, No Man Knows My History, 84.
 - 68. Kauffman and Kauffman, Latter-Day Saints, 23.
 - 69. Taylder, Mormon's Own Book, li-lii.
 - 70. John Theobald, Mormonism Harpooned (London: Horsell, 1855), 24.

- 71. Jonathan Baldwin Turner, *Mormonism in All Ages* (New York: Platt and Peters, 1842), 301.
 - 72. Earnest S. Bates, American Faith (New York: Norton, 1940), 346.
- 73. William Sparrow Simpson, Mormonism: Its History, Doctrines, and Practices (London: Pigott, 1853), 6.
- 74. Orvilla S. Belisle, *Mormonism Unveiled: A History of Mormonism from Its Rise to the Present Time* (London: Clark, 1855), 37.
 - 75. Gregg, Prophet of Palmyra, 4.
- 76. Sidney Bell, Wives of the Prophet (New York: Macaulay, 1935), introduction.
 - 77. Horton Davies, Christian Deviations (London: SCM, 1954), 80.
- 78. Jules Remy, A Journey to Great-Salt-Lake City (London: Jeffs, 1861), 1:xxxi.
 - 79. History of Caldwell and Livingston Counties, Missouri, 106.
- 80. Phillips E. Osgood, Religion without Magic (Boston: Beacon, 1954), 79.
 - 81. History of Caldwell and Livingston Counties, Missouri, 106.
- 82. Thomas W. Young, *Mormonism: Its Origin, Doctrines, and Dangers* (Ann Arbor, Mich.: Wahr, 1900), 16–17.
 - 83. Conybeare, "Mormonism," 336-37.
 - 84. Howe, Mormonism Unvailed, 12.
 - 85. History of Caldwell and Livingston Counties, Missouri, 106.
 - 86. Brodie, No Man Knows My History, 70.
- 87. "Mormons," Knowledge, A Weekly Magazine 1/9 (2 August 1890): 176.
 - 88. Brodie, No Man Knows My History, 70.
- 89. "Appraisal of the So-Called Brodie Book," *Church News*, 11 May 1946, 6.
- 90. Josiah D. Canning, *Poems* (Greenfield, Mass.: Phelps and Ingersoll, 1838), 107.
- 91. Peter Cartwright, *The Autobiography of Peter Cartwright, the Backwoods Preacher*, ed. William Peter Strickland (New York: Carlton and Porter, 1856), 342.
- 92. Daniel P. Kidder, Mormonism and the Mormons (New York: Carlton and Porter, 1842), 255.
- 93. Harry M. Beardsley, *Joseph Smith and His Mormon Empire* (Boston: Riverside, 1931), 17–18; Seibel, *The Mormon Saints*, 15–16; Robert W. Beers,

The Mormon Puzzle and How to Solve It (New York: Funk and Wagnalls, 1887), 27; Ellen E. Dickinson, New Light on Mormonism (New York: Funk and Wagnalls, 1885), 29.

- 94. Kirkham, A New Witness for Christ in America, 2:48-49.
- 95. Howe, Mormonism Unvailed, 11.