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When Nephi’s brothers were having a difficult time understanding their father’s dream, Nephi pointedly asked them, “Have ye inquired of the Lord?” (1 Nephi 5:8). Nephi himself exemplified the process by which disciples of the Lord seek after and obtain personal revelation. After Lehi related his dream to his family, this righteous son declared, “I, Nephi, was desirous also that I might see, and hear, and know of these things” (1 Nephi 10:17). Nephi knew that “he that diligently seeketh shall find; and the mysteries of God shall be unfolded unto them” (1 Nephi 10:19). As Nephi sought for this greater understanding, he “was caught away in the Spirit of the Lord” (1 Nephi 11:1) and was shown marvelous things.

Both the process and the content of the revelation in Nephi’s vision help the reader understand many things about the scriptures. Nephi’s vision makes numerous references to the Bible (i.e., the book that would proceed forth out of the mouth of a Jew) as well as to the Book of Mormon (i.e., the record of the seed of Nephi). These important teachings fall into three basic categories. First, Nephi’s vision mentions elements of stories found in the Bible and acts...
as a confirming witness that the Bible is true. Second, Nephi’s vision provides essential details that shed light on the nature of the Bible and the process by which it was transmitted over the centuries. Third, Nephi’s vision teaches important truths concerning the role of the Bible and the Book of Mormon in the restoration of the gospel. Book of Mormon writers repeatedly testified that they wrote for future generations under the inspiration of God (see 1 Nephi 6:3–6; 19:6; 2 Nephi 33:10–15; Jacob 7:27; Mormon 8:35; Mormon 9:30–31; and Ether 8:26). It is therefore vital that Latter-day Saints understand what Nephi’s vision teaches about the witness of the Bible, the nature of the Bible, and the role of both the Bible and Book of Mormon in the latter days.

**Confirming Witness of the Bible**

In a revelation given through the Prophet Joseph Smith in April 1830, the Lord testified that one of the important purposes of the Book of Mormon was to “prov[e] to the world that the holy scriptures are true” (D&C 20:11). Therefore, one of the important reasons for the Book of Mormon is that it corroborates the events and teachings contained in the Bible. This process is particularly true of the life and mission of the Savior Jesus Christ, which Nephi’s vision clearly and repeatedly confirms. Before his family fled into the wilderness, Nephi had already heard his father preach to the inhabitants of Jerusalem concerning “the coming of a Messiah, and also the redemption of the world” (1 Nephi 1:19). Nephi’s account of Lehi’s vision, however, provides important details not included in his abridgment of his father’s record and therefore confirms the veracity of these items mentioned in the Bible.

After relating the account of Lehi’s dream, Nephi prophesied that “six hundred years from the time that my father left Jerusalem, a prophet would the Lord God raise up among the Jews—even a Messiah, or in other words, a Savior of the world” (1 Nephi 10:4). The word Messiah comes from the Hebrew language and means “anointed one.” An equivalent word from the Greek language is Christ. During the time of the Old Testament, prophets, priests, and kings were anointed with oil and understood to be messiahs. These important figures, however, were symbolic of the one true Messiah who was to come and who would be the ultimate Prophet, Priest, and King.

During his mortal ministry, the Savior declared on special occasions that he was indeed the promised Messiah. One such instance was when a woman at Jacob’s well in Samaria told Jesus, “I know that Messias cometh, which
is called Christ: when he is come, he will tell us all things” (John 4:25). The Savior responded to her simply, “I that speak unto thee am he” (John 4:26).7 Nephi’s vision therefore confirms one of the primary purposes of the Book of Mormon, which is “to the convincing of the Jew and the Gentile that Jesus is the Christ” (title page), or in other words, that Jesus is the Messiah.

Nephi’s vision also confirms essential details concerning the nature of the Savior’s mortal birth. The Spirit of the Lord explained to Nephi that he would “behold a man descending out of heaven, and him shall ye witness; and after ye have witnessed him ye shall bear record that it is the Son of God” (1 Nephi 11:7). The birth of the Savior was no ordinary birth. Jesus was not literally the son of Joseph the carpenter, but rather he was “the Son of the most high God” (1 Nephi 11:6). Nephi saw that the mother of the Savior was “a virgin, most beautiful and fair above all other virgins” (1 Nephi 11:15) who lived “in the city of Nazareth” (1 Nephi 11:13).8 This young woman became “the mother of the Son of God, after the manner of the flesh” (1 Nephi 11:18) after she had been “carried away in the Spirit for the space of a time” (1 Nephi 11:19).9

The beginning of Nephi’s vision confirms these sacred elements as they are recorded in the New Testament. Luke’s Gospel testifies that Jesus would not be the paternal offspring of Joseph but that Mary’s son “shall be called the Son of the Highest” (Luke 1:32).10 We are told in Luke’s account that Mary was “a virgin espoused to a man whose name was Joseph” (Luke 1:27) and that she lived in “a city of Galilee, named Nazareth” (Luke 1:26). Before the conception and birth of Jesus, the angel Gabriel instructed her, “The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee: therefore also that holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God” (Luke 1:35).11 The vision of Nephi confirms the reality of the Savior’s identity as the son of God.

Just before Nephi received his vision, Lehi prophesied in detail concerning John the Baptist, “a prophet who should come before the Messiah, to prepare the way of the Lord” (1 Nephi 10:7). Following this, Nephi saw the Messiah in vision and also “beheld the prophet who should prepare the way before him” (1 Nephi 11:27). The details shared by both Lehi and Nephi confirm many important teachings from the New Testament Gospels about John the Baptist and the baptism of the Savior. For example, John was the fulfillment of Isaiah’s prophecy, “the voice of him that crieth in the wilderness, Prepare ye the way of the Lord, make straight in the desert a highway
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for our God” (Isaiah 40:3). John’s testimony to his audience was that, unbeknownst to them, the Savior was standing among them and that because of the Savior’s virtue the Baptist felt unworthy to even unlatch the Messiah’s sandals. Nephi clearly saw in his vision that John baptized “the Redeemer of the world” (1 Nephi 11:27). Lehi prophesied that John would “baptize in Bethabara, beyond Jordan” (1 Nephi 10:9). Even though it is uncertain precisely where the ancient site of Bethabara is located today, Lehi’s prophecy nonetheless confirms the location mentioned in John 1:28.

Lehi also declared that after John baptized the Savior, he would then “bear record that he had baptized the Lamb of God, who should take away the sins of the world” (1 Nephi 10:10). This postbaptismal testimony is recorded in John 1:29. Nephi saw in vision that following the baptism, the Holy Ghost would come down out of heaven “and abide upon him in the form of a dove” (1 Nephi 11:27). Although the word form can mean “shape or external appearance,” when Joseph Smith translated the Book of Mormon it could also mean other things, such as “pattern” as well as “beauty; elegance, splendor; dignity.”

These meanings of form would correspond better with the language and intent of the Gospel accounts: the Spirit descended “like a dove.”

The Prophet Joseph Smith taught the following about the dove’s appearance at the baptism of Jesus:

Whoever led the Son of God into the waters of baptism, and had the privilege of beholding the Holy Ghost descend in the form of a dove, or rather in the sign of the dove, in witness of that administration? The sign of the dove was instituted before the creation of the world, a witness for the Holy Ghost, and the devil cannot come in the sign of a dove. The Holy Ghost is a personage, and is in the form of a personage. It does not confine itself to the form of the dove, but in sign of the dove. The Holy Ghost cannot be transformed into a dove; but the sign of a dove was given to John to signify the truth of the deed, as the dove is an emblem or token of truth and innocence.

Thus, according to the Prophet, an actual dove was present at the Savior’s baptism, but the Holy Ghost was not transformed to look like a dove, nor was the Holy Ghost inside the dove. Rather, the dove at the baptism was a sign to John the Baptist that the Spirit was present and that John had indeed baptized the Lamb of God. Nephi’s vision confirms the truth of the New
Testament accounts that the Holy Ghost descended like a dove and thereafter remained with the Savior.

Nephi also learned many things in his vision concerning the mortal ministry of Jesus. He saw “multitudes of people who were sick, and who were afflicted with all manner of diseases, and with devils and unclean spirits. . . . And they were healed by the power of the Lamb of God; and the devils and the unclean spirits were cast out” (1 Nephi 11:31). The New Testament is replete with examples of the Savior’s miracles, both “healing all manner of sickness and all manner of disease among the people” (Matthew 4:23) and also exorcising devils and unclean spirits. In addition, Nephi saw that the Savior taught his gospel as “the multitudes were gathered together to hear him” (1 Nephi 11:28). The popularity of Jesus as a master teacher is clearly illustrated in the Gospels as “great multitudes were gathered together unto him” (Matthew 13:2) on numerous occasions in order to listen to his teachings. Further, Nephi saw that many who came in contact with the Savior would “fall down at his feet and worship him” (1 Nephi 11:24), a response that was repeated multiple times during the Savior’s life (see, for example, Matthew 2:11, 8:2, 9:18, 14:33, 15:25, 20:20, and 28:9).

The vision also provided Nephi with valuable information about the disciples of Christ. Nephi saw “twelve others following” the Savior (1 Nephi 11:29). The angel of the Lord called these twelve other followers by the name of “apostles” (1 Nephi 11:34). The English term Apostle comes from a Greek word that means “messenger” or “envoy.” The Gospel accounts consistently relate that the Savior called his twelve special messengers by the name Apostle. The angel also explained to Nephi that these Apostles “shall judge the twelve tribes of Israel” (1 Nephi 12:9), a sacred stewardship confirmed by the Savior during his mortal ministry.

Nephi was given specific information about one of these Apostles. He saw someone who was “dressed in a white robe” (1 Nephi 14:19). The angel of the Lord explained that this man was “one of the twelve apostles of the Lamb” (1 Nephi 14:20) and that his name was John. The angel further explained that John would see the same things that Nephi had beheld in his vision and that “he shall see and write the remainder of these things, . . . and he shall also write concerning the end of the world” (1 Nephi 14:21–22; see also 1 Nephi 14:24–25). This is a very important identification for Latter-day Saints. The author of the book of Revelation identifies himself simply as
John (see Revelation 1:1, 4, 9). Many non-Latter-day Saint scholars, however, are unwilling to identify the author of the book of Revelation with John the Beloved, the Apostle of the Lord. The Book of Mormon confirms that this special Apostle was indeed the author of the book of Revelation.

Most importantly, Nephi’s vision provides a confirming witness to the rejection, atonement, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ. Nephi saw that after the Redeemer “went forth ministering unto the people, in power and great glory; . . . they cast him out from among them” (1 Nephi 11:28). Nephi seems to have been shown in detail the events surrounding the death of the Messiah: “And I looked and beheld the Lamb of God, that he was taken by the people; yea, the Son of the everlasting God was judged of the world; and I saw and bear record. And I, Nephi, saw that he was lifted up upon the cross and slain for the sins of the world” (1 Nephi 11:32–33). Before this vision, Father Lehi had already testified to his sons that “after [the Messiah] had been slain he should rise from the dead, and should make himself manifest, by the Holy Ghost, unto the Gentiles” (1 Nephi 10:11).

These sacred events are at the heart of the New Testament. The Savior was rejected many times by those who heard him teach (see, for example, Luke 4:16–30). This rejection culminated in being betrayed by his Apostle Judas Iscariot and taken by an armed guard to stand trial. Accounts of the arrest and trials—first before the Sanhedrin and then before Pontius Pilate the Roman governor—as well as the crucifixion itself are contained in the Gospels. Importantly, however, Nephi understood from his vision that the purpose of the crucifixion of the Savior was expiatory: “for the sins of the world” (1 Nephi 11:33). The crucial testimony declared by early Christian missionaries like the Apostle Paul, was precisely this: “Christ died for our sins” (1 Corinthians 15:3; see also Romans 5:19; 2 Corinthians 5:21; Galatians 1:4; Ephesians 1:7; Colossians 1:14; Hebrews 9:28 and 10:12; 1 Peter 2:24). Additionally, the narratives contained in the Gospels and the book of Acts amply testify that the Messiah was raised from the dead and appeared to his chosen disciples (see Matthew 27; Mark 16; Luke 24; John 20–21; and Acts 1:1–9; 9:1–9).

Again, however, Nephi taught an important detail from his vision, that the resurrected Savior would “make himself manifest, by the Holy Ghost, unto the Gentiles” (1 Nephi 10:11). When Christ appeared to the Nephites, he taught concerning the Gentiles, “I should not manifest myself unto them save
it were by the Holy Ghost” (3 Nephi 15:23). He further explained concerning his Jewish disciples that “the Gentiles should be converted through their preaching” (3 Nephi 15:22). 31 The example of Cornelius, the Roman centurion, confirms this process: a heavenly messenger appeared to Cornelius “in a vision” (Acts 10:3) and led him to the Apostle Peter. After being taught by the chief Apostle, “the Holy Ghost fell on all them which heard the word” (Acts 10:44), including the Gentile Cornelius. Following this, Jewish members of the Church were amazed “because that on the Gentiles also was poured out the gift of the Holy Ghost” (Acts 10:45). The account of Nephi’s vision beautifully fulfills the purpose set forth by the Lord in Doctrine and Covenants 20: it demonstrates that the Bible is true, particularly the Gospels.

The Nature of the Bible

The Bible as we know it today has undergone a long and complicated process of transmission. 32 Nephi’s vision teaches many important truths concerning this process and the nature of our Bible. Once Lehi’s family fled into the wilderness and camped in the valley of Lemuel, Nephi and his brothers were commanded to return to Jerusalem to obtain the brass plates of Laban (see 1 Nephi 3:1–12). After Nephi brought the brass plates back to their camp in the wilderness, Lehi discovered that they contained “a genealogy of his fathers” (1 Nephi 5:14), as well as “the five books of Moses” (1 Nephi 5:11). They also contained “a record of the Jews” and “the prophecies of the holy prophets” from the time of Father Adam down to time of King Zedekiah of Judah, including many of the prophecies of Jeremiah (see 1 Nephi 5:12–13).

Nephi saw in his vision another book—the Bible—which also was “a record of the Jews” (1 Nephi 13:23). The angel told Nephi that this other book was “a record like unto the engravings which are upon the plates of brass” (1 Nephi 13:23). The angel also explained that the primary difference between the two records was that the Bible did not contain as many “prophecies of the holy prophets” (1 Nephi 13:23). Examples of prophets whose prophecies are on the brass plates but not in the Bible are Zenos, Zenock, Neum, and Ezias. 33 It is possible that the brass plates were a Northern Kingdom version of the scriptures—as opposed to the Bible, which was a Southern Kingdom version—and were brought south into the Kingdom of Judah by the ancestors of Laban and Lehi who fled from the invading Assyrian army around 722 BC. 34 Additional material would have continued to be added to the brass plates, in
particular many of the prophecies of Jeremiah, until Nephi obtained them. Regardless of exactly how the brass plates came into Laban’s possession, they contained more pronouncements from the prophets of old than the Bible.

Even though the Bible which Nephi saw in vision included fewer prophetic books than the brass plates, the information it contained was nonetheless accurate and true. The angel of the Lord testified to Nephi that the Bible originally “contained the fulness of the gospel of the Lord” (1 Nephi 13:24) and that when this record went from the Jews to the Gentiles—meaning from the original Jewish Apostles to the early Gentile members of the Church—it did so “in purity” (1 Nephi 13:25). The angel later instructed Nephi, “At the time the book proceeded out of the mouth of the Jew, the things which were written were plain and pure, and most precious and easy to the understanding of all men” (1 Nephi 14:23). Unfortunately, after Nephi saw the early Christian Gentiles receive the Bible from the Apostles, he then saw the formation an organization that was “most abominable” (1 Nephi 13:26). Nephi saw “many plain and precious things taken away” from the Bible by this group (1 Nephi 13:28).

The Prophet Joseph Smith also taught concerning the original pure condition of the Bible and its subsequent corruption. On one occasion the Prophet testified, “I believe the Bible as it read when it came from the pen of the original writers.” In addition, the Prophet also observed, “From sundry revelations which had been received, it was apparent that many important points touching the salvation of men, had been taken from the Bible, or lost before it was compiled.” It is important to note that Joseph Smith taught that the various corruptions of the Bible included both intentional (i.e., “taken from”) as well as unintentional (i.e., “lost”). In an in-depth study of the differences in the manuscripts of the New Testament, Bart D. Ehrman concluded that “most of the changes were accidental, the result of scribal ineptitude, carelessness, or fatigue. Others were intentional, and reflect the controversial milieux within which they were produced.” Nephi’s vision emphasizes the deliberate kinds of changes: “And all this have they done that they might pervert the right ways of the Lord, that they might blind the eyes and harden the hearts of the children of men” (1 Nephi 13:27). Whether intentional or unintentional, however, the changes certainly had a detrimental effect upon people, as the angel foresaw: “Because of these things which are taken away
out of the gospel of the Lamb, an exceedingly great many do stumble, yea, insomuch that Satan hath great power over them” (1 Nephi 13:29).

The process of removing “plain and precious things” (1 Nephi 13:28) was probably more complicated than one might suppose. Nephi was taught by the angel of the Lord that “there are many plain and precious things taken away from the book” (1 Nephi 13:28; emphasis added) or “taken out of the book” (1 Nephi 13:29; emphasis added). Certainly there is ample evidence for this when one compares the early manuscripts of the New Testament, as Professor Ehrman has concluded: “Scribes sometimes changed their scriptural texts to make them say what they were already known to mean.”40 But for Latter-day Saints, the idea that scribes made changes to manuscripts in order to fit their theological views presupposes that these scribes were already functioning under some level of doctrinal corruption themselves before they actually corrupted the manuscripts of the Bible.

Nephi’s heavenly guide may have had this phenomenon in mind when he taught Nephi concerning that great and abominable group: “they have taken away from the gospel of the Lamb many parts which are plain and most precious; and also many covenants of the Lord have they taken away” (1 Nephi 13:26; emphasis added). Further, the angel testified that “because of these things which are taken away out of the gospel of the Lamb, an exceedingly great many do stumble” (1 Nephi 13:29; emphasis added).41 Concerning what it may mean to take away plain and precious truths from the gospel, rather than merely from the manuscripts, Richard L. Anderson has written: “In recent centuries, rationalism rather than changes in manuscripts has led the attack on Christ’s gospel and divinity. Although there certainly were changes in documents, as Nephi pointed out in his prophecy (see 1 Nephi 13:28), the greater losses came as the gospel and its ceremonies were changed. By ignoring major parts of the scriptures, various Christian theologies have either explained away or changed vital covenants and rites still mentioned in the Bible.”42 Thus original doctrinal corruption may have eventually led to ceremonial and covenantal corruption, as well as changes in manuscripts. The various processes may have, over time, fed off one another in a vicious cycle. Regardless of the precise process of events, however, Nephi’s vision testifies that the Bible as we know it today is not in its original pristine condition. In addition, it is important to note, as I will demonstrate below, that the Lord has provided
Latter-day Saints with abundant testimony concerning the great worth of the Bible despite its current imperfect state.

The Role of the Book of Mormon and the Bible

Nephi’s vision reveals important truths concerning the sacred role of the Bible and the Book of Mormon in the latter days. When originally written, the Bible contained “the covenants of the Lord, which he hath made unto the house of Israel” (1 Nephi 13:23). As mentioned above, the great and abominable group tampered with the Bible and the gospel, removing “many covenants of the Lord” (1 Nephi 13:26), and as a result “an exceedingly great many do stumble” (1 Nephi 13:29). But the angel testified to Nephi that the Lord would not “suffer that the Gentiles shall forever remain in that awful state of blindness” (1 Nephi 13:32). He would mercifully provide a way to overcome the stumbling blocks of a corrupted Bible and an incomplete gospel. This would be part of “a great and a marvelous work” (1 Nephi 14:7) which the Lord designed to accomplish in the latter days. The Lord testified through his heavenly messenger to Nephi, “I will be merciful unto the Gentiles in that day, insomuch that I will bring forth unto them, in mine own power, much of my gospel, which shall be plain and precious” (1 Nephi 13:34; see also Moses 1:41).

The Lord, through the angelic guide, explained to Nephi the process by which this restoration of truth would be accomplished: “I will manifest myself unto thy seed, that they shall write many things which I shall minister unto them, which shall be plain and precious” (1 Nephi 13:35). After Nephi’s descendants recorded these sacred items, “these things shall be hid up, to come forth unto the Gentiles, by the gift and power of God” (1 Nephi 13:35). This record is the Book of Mormon, which contains a number of statements declaring the inspiration by which it was written, preserved, and brought forth. For example, the title page of the Book of Mormon testifies that this record was “written by way of commandment, and also by the spirit of prophecy and of revelation—written and sealed up, and hid up unto the Lord, that they might not be destroyed—To come forth by the hand of Moroni, and hid up unto the Lord, to come forth in due time by way of the Gentile—The interpretation thereof by the gift of God.” Similarly, the Prophet Joseph Smith declared concerning his role in bringing forth the Book of Mormon, “I translated the record by the gift and power of God.”
Nephi was told by the angel that these two scriptural records—the Bible and the Book of Mormon—would “be established in one,” and as a result “the words of the Lamb shall be made known” (1 Nephi 13:41). The record of the Jews and the record of the Nephites were to work together in sacred partnership in order to further the work of God. This association of two books of scripture was to serve important purposes. First, as Nephi saw, the “other books” of scripture came forth in order to testify of the Bible, specifically to convince people “that the records of the prophets and of the twelve apostles of the Lamb are true” (1 Nephi 13:39). In his final testimony to the future readers of the Book of Mormon, the prophet Mormon testified that this special relationship was intended to flow both ways: “This [Book of Mormon] is written for the intent that ye may believe that [the Bible]; and if ye believe that [the Bible] ye will believe this [the Book of Mormon] also” (Mormon 7:8). Second, the angel of the Lord declared to Nephi that a primary purpose for the Book of Mormon was to “make known the plain and precious things which have been taken away” (1 Nephi 13:40). The angel further specified important truths that the Book of Mormon would restore to the world, “that the Lamb of God is the Son of the Eternal Father, and the Savior of the world; and that all men must come unto him, or they cannot be saved” (1 Nephi 13:40). Nephi’s vision gives Latter-day Saints hope that through the instrumentality of modern prophets and scripture, the corruptions of the Bible will not stand as a stumbling block to the work of God.

Conclusion

The details of Nephi’s vision have been preserved for us so that we might learn from them. Modern prophets have emphasized this reality. For example, Ezra Taft Benson testified: “The Book of Mormon . . . was written for our day. The Nephites never had the book; neither did the Lamanites of ancient times. It was meant for us. . . . Under the inspiration of God, who sees all things from the beginning, [Mormon] abridged centuries of records, choosing the stories, speeches, and events that would be most helpful to us. . . . We should constantly ask ourselves, ‘Why did the Lord inspire Mormon (or Moroni or Alma) to include that in his record? What lesson can I learn from that to help me live in this day and age?'” When we ask those important questions of the Book of Mormon’s account of Nephi’s vision, several answers beautifully testify of God’s love for his children.
First, Latter-day Saints not only need to have a testimony of modern prophets and latter-day scripture but should also appreciate the truth of the Bible. The Lord prophesied to Joseph of Egypt that one of the gifts of the future seer, Joseph Smith, would be not only to “bring forth my word unto the seed of thy loins”—referring to the Book of Mormon—but also “to the convincing of them of my word, which shall have already gone forth among them” (2 Nephi 3:11). As our fourth Article of Faith teaches, “We believe the Bible to be the word of God as far as it is translated correctly” (Articles of Faith 1:8). More specifically, we should have a greater appreciation of the Bible precisely because the Book of Mormon, the latter-day word of God, testifies of its truthfulness.

Second, Latter-day Saints understand that the Bible does not currently contain all the truths that it did when it was originally written. This highlights not only the need for additional revealed truth to supplement and correct that which was incomplete or incorrect but also the love God has for his children by providing these things in the latter days. The word translated in the Article of Faith phrase “as far as it is translated correctly” implies more than merely transforming from one language to another. As Robert J. Matthews explained, “Joseph Smith often used the words ‘translated’ and ‘translation,’ not in the narrow sense alone of rendering a text from one language into another, but in the wider senses of ‘transmission,’ having reference to copying, editing, adding to, taking from, rephrasing, and interpreting. This is substantially beyond the usual meaning of ‘translation.’” Thus Latter-day Saints also believe the Bible to be the word of God as far as it has been transmitted over time correctly.

These two latter-day perspectives on the Bible are not incompatible. In spite of having been transmitted to us in an incomplete and corrupted state, the Bible is still true—it is the word of God. For example, President J. Reuben Clark Jr. taught: “Notwithstanding the corruptions themselves, the Good Old Book stands as a record of God’s dealings with and commandments and promises to his children, in their days of righteousness and in their generations of sin. It still, though corrupted, points out the way of righteousness to the man of faith seeking to serve God. It contains some of God’s counsel to his children.” Latter-day Saints should be a Bible-believing and a Bible-loving people.
Finally, just as people more fully value the Atonement of Christ once they realize the reality of their fallen condition, so also acknowledgement of the limitations of the Bible should help Latter-day Saints more fully appreciate the need for the Restoration of the gospel, specifically the need for modern prophets and latter-day scripture, such as the Book of Mormon. Elder M. Russell Ballard taught, “While we accept the Bible as the word of God as far as it is translated correctly, we believe that this generation has as much, if not more, of a need for God’s guidance and direction than generations of former times. Our belief that our Heavenly Father has sent prophets and apostles and has given us additional scripture for our day and time is a manifestation of His great love and concern for His children.”

This love, which God has demonstrated toward us by providing additional revelation and scripture, is the central feature of Nephi’s vision, represented by the tree of life. In the words of Nephi, “it is the love of God, which sheddeth itself abroad in the hearts of the children of men; wherefore, it is the most desirable above all things” (1 Nephi 11:22).

Notes

1. The phrase “holy scriptures” is clearly a reference to the Bible. See, for example, D&C 20:35 and 33:16.
3. Lehi also called the Messiah “the Lamb of God” (1 Nephi 10:10). This identification of the Lamb of God as the Messiah is repeated by the angel in 1 Nephi 12:18.
7. For other New Testament references in which Jesus confirmed his identity as Messiah, see Matthew 16:13–20 and Mark 14:60–62.
8. King Benjamin later prophesied that “his mother shall be called Mary” (Mosiah 3:8; see also Alma 7:10).
9. Four times in Nephi’s vision, Jesus was originally identified as “God” (1 Nephi 11:18), “the Eternal Father” (1 Nephi 11:21 and 13:40), and “the everlasting God” (1 Nephi 11:32). The Prophet Joseph Smith later added the phrase “the son of” to each of those four references so readers would not mistakenly identify Jesus as God the Father. Nephi and the Spirit of the Lord clearly identify Jesus as “the son of God” earlier in
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the section (1 Nephi 10:17; 11:7). See Royal Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants of the Book of Mormon*, Part One (Provo, UT: Foundation for Ancient Research and Mormon Studies, 2004), 220. Jesus, of course, was Jehovah, the God of the Old Testament (see 3 Nephi 15:4–5). This is likely the understanding that was originally intended in the previous references. Abinadi was eventually executed for similarly claiming that “God himself should come down among the children of men” (Mosiah 17:8). On these issues, see Jared T. Parker, “Abinadi on the Father and the Son: Interpretation and Application,” in *Living the Book of Mormon: Abiding by Its Precepts*, ed. Gaye Strathearn and Charles Swift (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 2007), 136–50.

10. The original wording of 1 Nephi 11:6 identified the Savior as “the Son of the Most High,” omitting the word “God.” See Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants*, 220. This wording corresponds even more closely with the King James Version rendering of Luke 1:32: “the Son of the Highest.”

11. Similarly, Matthew’s Gospel testifies that before she married Joseph, Mary “was found with child of the Holy Ghost” (Matthew 1:18).


14. The phrase “beyond Jordan” normally refers to the east side of the Jordan River (see John 10:40). The earliest extant manuscripts containing John 1:28 actually read “Bethany” rather than “Bethabara.” The early Church fathers Origen and John Chrysostom, however, claimed that most early and accurate manuscripts, which apparently have not survived to the present day, contained the reading “Bethabara.” On this, see Bruce M. Metzger, *A Textual Commentary on the Greek New Testament*, 2nd ed. (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 1994), 171. It is possible that Origen and Chrysostom were correct and that the Book of Mormon confirms that “Bethabara” is the original reading. Or it is possible that the original reading is “Bethany” and that, because the two names are spelled so similarly in Greek, the word “Bethabara” was eventually written by mistake as a corruption of the name “Bethany.” If this is the case, it may be that Joseph Smith simply relied upon his knowledge of the wording in the King James Version of the Bible when translating 1 Nephi 10:9. In the end, whether one prefers the name Bethany or Bethabara, Lehi’s prophecy confirms John’s account of the location where John the Baptist performed baptisms, *even though it is uncertain precisely where that location is today.* For a recent study of a possible location for the place where John baptized on the east side of the Jordan River, see Rami Khouri, “Where Jesus Baptized: Bethany Beyond the Jordan,” *Biblical Archaeology Review* 31, no. 1 (January/February 2005): 34–43.
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