The twenty-six years between the founding of Iosepa in 1889 and the announcement of a temple in Hawai‘i in 1915 was a time of significant change in the Church as a whole, and in Hawai‘i as well. To be sure, the doctrine that temples would be built throughout the world had already been well established. Brigham Young stated, “To accomplish this work there will have to be not only one temple but thousands of them.”¹ It had never been a matter of if, but rather when and where conditions would be suitable for more temples to be built. However, after the Logan Utah Temple was announced in 1876, more than thirty-five years would pass before the next wave of temples, including the one in Lā‘ie, Hawai‘i, would be announced.²

A HIATUS IN TEMPLE BUILDING

Effect of US government crackdown on polygamy

This gap in the announcement of more temples began with the presidency of John Taylor (1877–87), a time when the Church was being persecuted for the practice of plural marriage. Beyond outlawing polygamy, which resulted in the arrest of hundreds of Church members, the US government sought to dismantle the Church as a political and economic organization.³ The seizure of Church property, confiscation of holdings, and removal of voting rights ensued. As a result, when Wilford Woodruff assumed the...
presidency in 1889, Church debt was mounting, arrests continued, member immigration was curtailed, and territorial political power was increasingly in the hands of politicians who were hostile to the Church. Finally, Church leaders learned that the Utah US attorney was investigating the management of Church property, and in late August 1890 President Woodruff received confirmation that the US government was going to confiscate the temples.4

Shortly thereafter, during general conference on 6 October, President Woodruff issued what is known as “the Manifesto,” declaring that the Church would no longer teach plural marriage nor permit members to enter into it. Later, he explained that the Lord had revealed to him what would take place if plural marriage did not cease—namely, the “confiscation and loss of all the temples, and the stopping of all the ordinances therein, both for the living and the dead.” However, discontinuing the practice of plural marriage would “leave the Temples in the hands of the Saints, so that they can attend to the ordinances of the Gospel, both for the living and the dead.”5

The burden of Church debt

Although the desire to build more temples was constant, the Church simply did not have the resources to do so. The challenges associated with plural marriage, combined with economic conditions of that era, left the Church in considerable debt.6 This burden extended throughout the 1890s and weighed heavily on the presidency of Lorenzo Snow.7 While speaking at the
St. George Tabernacle on 17 May 1899, President Snow told the Saints that they had neglected the law of tithing and that the Church would be relieved of its debts, and they of their drought, if members would pay a full tithing. Through emphasis on the law of tithing throughout the Church, President Snow placed the Church on a path to financial solvency that would eventually allow the construction of more temples.8

SHIFT IN GATHERING

From the time the Church was organized, members had been encouraged to gather to the center of the Church—Zion (see Doctrine and Covenants 29:7–8). Whether this gathering took place in Kirtland, Nauvoo, or the Rocky Mountains, the resulting unity helped the Saints to forge spiritual strength in a refuge free from persecution and sin. Furthermore, the policy of gathering members to Zion produced the resources needed to build temples.9 However, by the turn of the century the Church was no longer encouraging immigration. As things were, Latter-day Saint settlements in Utah found it increasingly difficult to support large numbers of immigrants. Moreover, the resulting depletion of member strength in foreign lands hindered missionary work.10 Thus the Saints were asked to “stay and build up the work abroad.”11 Almost concurrent with the new emphasis on having the Saints gather in branches, wards, and stakes throughout the world, Church leaders began considering how to provide all members, not just those living near the center of the Church, with the ordinances of the temple.

OVERTHROW OF THE HAWAIIAN KINGDOM

Just as the Church was experiencing significant change at the end of the nineteenth century, conditions in Hawai‘i were shifting as well. During its rule the Hawaiian Kingdom endured repeated British, French, and US attempts to exert influence over it. Over time, American business ventures, facilitated by Hawai‘i’s proximity to US ports, increasingly linked
the Hawaiian and US economies. Trade treaties strengthened those ties, and eventually US sugar plantation owners came to dominate Hawai‘i’s economy and politics. When Queen Lili‘uokalani sought to reestablish a stronger monarchy, a group of American businessmen deposed her in 1893, removing the Hawaiian monarchy from power. The Church did not take a political stand in this matter; however, Church members in Hawai‘i (nearly all native) were displeased. Hawai‘i was annexed by the United States in 1898 and became a territory in 1900 (later becoming a state in 1959).

**MAKING THE LĀʻIE PLANTATION PROFITABLE**

Though the Church’s financial ventures had generally been communal and cooperative, as its debt mounted in the 1890s and industry expanded throughout the US, the Church increasingly turned to more capitalist business practices.

This shift can be seen in the administration of the Lāʻie Plantation, where, among other crops, sugarcane was increasingly grown and harvested industrially. For one, the Church could ill afford to prop up the plantation in hard times as it had in the past, and if the plantation could be enlarged and made to be more profitable, labor opportunities would increase, allowing more Hawaiian Saints to gather to Lā‘ie. The move toward increasing the plantation’s profitability began during the mission presidency of Matthew Noall (1891–95) and was expanded during the mission presidency and plantation management of Samuel E. Woolley (1895–1921). It was the economic success of the Lāʻie Plantation, combined with Hawaiian member donations, that would allow the temple to be constructed without financial assistance from Church headquarters.

**VISIT OF GEORGE Q. CANNON**

The year 1900 was the fiftieth anniversary of the Hawaii Mission. In celebration President George Q. Cannon, one of the original ten missionaries in Hawai‘i and now First Counselor in the First Presidency, traveled to Hawai‘i to attend the festivities.
It was during this gratifying visit that President Cannon, on at least two occasions, openly spoke to the Hawaiian Saints about the possibility of receiving temple blessings.

Of President Cannon’s comments during Sunday meetings in Lāʻie on 23 December, Elder Fred Beesley recorded: “He exhorted the Saints very strongly to live pure and virtuous lives and if they were faithful and would strive to be worthy they would have the privilege to be sealed in marriage. He remarked that the sealing power would be given to the president of the mission, so that the ordinance of sealing would be accorded . . . without their going to the temples in Zion.” Mission president Samuel E. Woolley reprised that instruction in these words: “If they would only be faithful enough, the time would come when someone would be given the power to seal husband and wife for time and eternity so that their children would be born under the new and everlasting covenant.”

President Cannon’s journal preserves the essence of what he said in Honolulu a week later:

[In Sunday School] I spoke upon temple building, the work to be done in the temples and the necessity of their gathering
all that they could about their ancestors.

[At 2 o’clock meeting] I led them to believe that if they did so [lived lives of purity] and exercise faith the Lord might move upon his servants, the prophet, Lorenzo Snow, to authorize one of his servants to seal wives to husbands for time and eternity. I felt led to touch upon this point for I believe if that were done here in the cases of faithful saints it would be attended with excellent effects.¹⁵

President Cannon went on to explain that he had spoken so freely to the Hawaiian Saints about receiving temple ordinances because during his visit he had observed firsthand the faithfulness of the Hawaiian Saints, some of whom had been “steadfast in the truth” for over forty years.¹⁶ Such righteous longevity well exceeded that of most Church colonies established throughout the West.

However, President Cannon’s recorded statements do not say that a temple would be built in Hawai‘i, only the possibility that the sealing ordinance would be made available.¹⁷ That he did not publicly mention the building of a temple is explained by comments President Woolley made nineteen years later at the Laie Hawaii Temple dedication. Woolley stated that during his visit to Hawai‘i in 1900, President Cannon specifically told him there would be a temple erected in the islands in the near future and that if not for that he would exercise his authority to seal some of the faithful Hawaiian families whom he had known for nearly fifty years. Woolley added that he had been deeply encouraged by President Cannon’s words and that since that day in 1900 he
had dreamed of a temple in Hawai‘i and actively labored to bring about conditions favorable to its accomplishment.\textsuperscript{18}

As a result of President Cannon’s visit, temple work became a recurring topic at mission conferences, and Hawaiian Church leaders stressed to the members the personal worthiness necessary to qualify for temple blessings.\textsuperscript{19} Even children in the Church school in Lā‘ie were taught by their missionary teachers to “be good and go to church” so a temple could be built.\textsuperscript{20}

**PRESIDENCY OF JOSEPH F. SMITH**

After almost a monthlong stay in Hawai‘i, President Cannon returned to Utah in January 1901. As evidence that Cannon’s desire to extend temple blessings to more members was shared by his associates in the First Presidency, less than three months later President Joseph F. Smith, Second Counselor in the First Presidency, shared the following in general conference:

> [Members] are becoming so numerous in distant parts of the country that even though we have four temples [St. George, Logan, Manti, and Salt Lake] . . . there are thousands of our people who are practically deprived of the privilege of enjoying them, because they are so far removed from them. Under these circumstances, I foresee the necessity arising for other temples or places consecrated to the Lord for the performance of the ordinances of God’s house.\textsuperscript{21}

This statement signaled optimism that more temples would be built in order to serve those members living far from the geographic center of the Church. Sadly, just days after President Smith’s comments, President George Q. Cannon passed away (12 April 1901), and six months later, just four days after the October 1901 general conference, President Lorenzo Snow also died. A week later, on 17 October 1901, Joseph F. Smith, who cumulatively had spent nearly seven years among the Hawaiian Saints, was set apart as the sixth President of the Church. He would serve in that capacity for seventeen years.\textsuperscript{22}
As prophet, President Joseph F. Smith continued to address the need for more temples, stating in the October 1902 general conference, “We hope to see the day when we shall have temples built in the various parts of the land where they are needed for the convenience of the people.” However, the Church continued to face lingering political challenges and debt, and President Smith’s public statements about temples seemed to subside over the next couple of years. Then in 1906, he declared that “temples of God . . . will be reared in diverse countries of the world.” And in the April 1907 general conference, President Smith announced, “Today the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints owes not a dollar that it cannot pay at once.” The Church was out of debt.

A TEMPLE IN CANADA

In a surprise announcement in the October 1912 priesthood session of general conference, President Joseph F. Smith said that a temple would be built in Alberta, Canada. This concluded thirty-six years without the announcement of a temple, the longest in this dispensation, and seemed to signal that a new era of temple building had begun.

In general, Church persecution had receded and financial obligations were now met. The current prophet, Joseph F. Smith, who had a deep connection with Hawai‘i, had repeatedly expressed his desire that temples be built in areas distant from the Church center, and the announcement of a temple in Canada was a step toward making this desire a reality. Certainly the optimism that a temple would one day be built in Hawai‘i had never been more justified.
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11. *Millennial Star*, 23 May 1907, 329. “He [Joseph F. Smith] and his Counselors in the First Presidency counseled members to be ‘faithful and true in their allegiance to their governments, and to be good citizens,’ and to ‘remain in their native lands and form congregations of a permanent character.’ Members of the Church were no longer encouraged to move to Utah to gather with the Saints.” Quoted in “Ministry of Joseph F. Smith,” xx.
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22. See “Ministry of Joseph F. Smith,” xi–xxv. Further, Joseph F. Smith biographer Francis M. Gibbons noted: “Little if any time was required to acquaint the new president with the requirements of his office. For thirty-five years he had been one of the inner circle of Church leaders. He had served as counselor to the four previous presidents (Brigham Young, John Taylor, Wilford Woodruff, and Lorenzo Snow), and he had served with the last three throughout their presidencies. Moreover, his knowledge of Church leaders and doctrines extended to the earliest days of the Church.” Francis M. Gibbons, Joseph F. Smith: Patriarch and Preacher, Prophet of God (Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 1984), 216.
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President Joseph F. Smith and his party in front of the Laie Social Hall during their 1915 visit. From left to right: President Smith and his wife Julina, Elder Reed Smoot and his wife Alpha, Bishop Charles Nibley and his wife Rebecca, and Samuel E. Woolley. During this visit the prophet noted positive changes in the spiritual and financial well-being of the Church and improved conditions in Hawai‘i in general. Courtesy of Church History Library.